

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

The undersigned representative of the Applicant thank the Examiner for the interview conducted on November 29, 2006, in which potentially allowable subject matters were discussed.

The present amendment and remarks are in response to the Office Action mailed March 22, 2006, in which Claims 1-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 were rejected. Claims 1 and 26 have been amended in this application. No Claims are canceled and no claims are added. Therefore, Claims 1-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 remain in this application.

**CLAIM REJECTIONS- 35 U.S.C. SECTION 103(a)**

Claims 1-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Eisenhart U.S. Publication 2001/0047276.

Examiner is of the opinion that the Eisenhart has taught the present invention, except “resource provider as being able to provide from a group of capital, land building rental, management group, rules and regulations, administrative ideas, and business plans”. However, Examiner thinks that Eisenhart teaches resource provider as being able to provide from a group of at least capital. Therefore, according the Examiner, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Eisenhart and expand the group by additional elements in the groups to make the system and method available to plurality of types of supplier.

The present invention provides a system and a method for efficiently matching resources required to establish businesses and business facilities through the Internet. It can provide the certification and match services to the resource providers that would like to attend the foundation of a company or factory, and form business plans to reduce cycle time of the foundation for a company or factory and help the user to establish a company or a factory

efficiently (see Fig. 3C Claim 1, 26 and the description of Fig. 3C of the present invention). The business plans business plans are created or select from the electronic hub system base on request of the certified resource providers. And the business plans business plans are sent displayed to the resource providers for deciding whether they take part in the business plans.

In contrast, Eisenhart provide a business to business technology exchange and collaboration system and method to help the people living in different areas for technology exchange and commerce, and provide a secure area for technology exchange and commerce. Eisenhart teaches how to provide a technology exchange and collaboration between technology Supplier and Buyer or a Contributor by matching the profiles, and if the profiles are matched, the system will send the message to both of the technology Supplier and the Buyer or both of the technology Supplier and the Contributor, and provide a secure area for them to contact to each other and to exchange technology. All Eisenhart teaches is how to proceed technology exchange and collaboration by matching the profiles, but Eisenhart does not teach and disclose that the system can provide business plans based on similarities to the business models which the user needs. It is because that there is no any business plan to be disclosed in the specification of Eisenhart. And the object of the Eisenhart is to match the demand of the technology Supplier and the Buyer for technology exchange and collaboration, so Eisenhart does not need any business plan and Eisenhart does not teach it. Therefore, it is not obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to get the present invention only by modifying Eisenhart or by what Eisenhart teaches. Therefore, the rejection of Claims 1 and 26 can be traversed.

Furthermore, according to the paragraph [0012] of the Eisenhart, the registration data registered by the potential member include company contact information, personal contact information, the role that the potential member performs, and requested login account information. And according to Fig. 7A and paragraph [0103], the registration data registered

by the potential member would be analyzed to verified the qualification of the potential member. However, in the present invention, the system examine the resources which provided by the resource providers, for example capital, land, building rental, management groups, regulation, administrative ideas, and business plans, in order to confirm said resource provider's reliabilities. Therefore, Eisenhart only teach that the system analyzes the registration data to verified the qualification of the potential member, but not examine the resources. It is because that the registration data disclosed by Eisenhart is different form the resources of the present invention. Therefore, the rejection of Claims 1 and 26 can be traversed.

The Examiner is of opinion of that the feature "matching said resource provider according to said record of said resource provider with other certified resource providers having a record saved in said electronic hub system, comprising: collecting and analyzing records of said certified resource providers; and implementing matches and business plans for certified resource provider based on similarities to business models of the other certified resource providers to enable efficient obtainment of resources necessary for establishing a company or a factory." of Claims 1 and 26 has been disclosed by the Fig. 7B of Eisenhart and disclosure associated with Fig. 7B of Eisenhart. However, according to Fig. 7B and [0106] of Eisenhart, Fig. 7B is a flow diagram of a process that creates a profile in technology exchange system, and all steps in the process are to ensure that the user has created the profile about himself and his demand. There is not any matching step, analyzing step and implementing matches and business plan step to be disclosed in Fig. 7B and disclosure associated with Fig. 7B. Therefore, Eisenhart does not expressly or inherently disclose or suggest the foregoing feature. Accordingly, Claims 1 and 26 are allowable over Eisenhart.

The remaining, dependant claim are allowable for at least the same reasons that claims 1 and 26 are allowable. Further, the dependent claims each contain additional

features and offer additional advantages over prior art. For example, Eisenhart does not disclose or suggest any business plan database or any business model database, as called for in at least claims 5, 6 and 28-30.

**Conclusion**


Applicant respectfully submits that all pending Claims 1-7, 11, 14 and 26-30 as currently presented are in condition for allowance. Applicant has thoroughly reviewed that art cited but relied upon by the Examiner. Applicant has concluded that these references do not affect the patentability of these claims as currently presented. Accordingly, reconsideration and a Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

**23552**

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.  
P.O. Box 2903  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903  
(612) 332-5300

Date: February 8, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tong Wu

Reg. No. 43,361

TW:cjc